

Application Number 10/782,087  
Response to Office Action mailed August 10, 2007

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

**AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS**

**DEC 10 2007**

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions and listings of claims in the application.

**Listing of Claims:**

Claim 1 (Currently Amended): A system for gastric stimulation of a patient comprising:  
a plurality of sensing electrodes for sensing intrinsic gastric activity from a stomach wall of a patient;  
an implantable gastric stimulator coupled to the plurality of sensing electrodes, the implantable gastric stimulator receiving the sensed intrinsic gastric activity and performing an analysis of the sensed intrinsic gastric activity to classify the activity as normal or abnormal, and determining whether to create an electrical stimulation based at least in part upon the classification analysis of the sensed intrinsic gastric activity as normal or abnormal;  
a plurality of stimulation electrodes for conveying the electrical stimulation from the implantable gastric stimulator to the stomach wall of the patient, the electrical stimulation for disrupting normal gastric activity of the stomach.

Claim 2 (Original): A system as recited in claim 1, further comprising one or a plurality of elongated lead body sheaths having proximal end connectors for coupling said stimulation and sensing electrodes with said implantable gastric stimulator, portions of said stimulation and sensing electrodes extending through the elongated lead body sheaths to their distal end, the distal end of the elongated lead body sheaths for electrical communication with the stomach wall of the patient and for positioning said stimulation and sensing electrodes on or in the stomach wall.

Claim 3 (Original): A system as recited in claim 1, wherein said plurality of the stimulation and sensing electrodes are positionable at different locations of the stomach wall.

Application Number 10/782,087  
Response to Office Action mailed August 10, 2007

Claim 4 (Previously presented): A system as recited in claim 1, wherein the implantable gastric stimulator further comprises a radio frequency telemetry transceiver provided for communication with a remote programmer.

Claim 5 (Original): A system as recited in claim 1, wherein said implantable gastric stimulator comprises a programmable microprocessor or microcontroller.

Claim 6 (Original): A system as recited in claim 1, wherein the stimulator may temporarily revert to a power conserve condition at programmable times of the day.

Claim 7 (Previously presented): A system as recited in claim 1, wherein said sensing electrodes communicate the sensed intrinsic gastric activity to the implantable gastric stimulator for identifying at the implantable gastric stimulator an interval, an amplitude, and a duration of the sensed intrinsic gastric activity.

Claim 8 (Previously presented): A system as recited in claim 7, wherein said sensing electrodes communicate the sensed intrinsic gastric activity to the implantable gastric stimulator for identifying at the implantable gastric stimulator a frequency spectrum of the sensed intrinsic gastric activity.

Claim 9 (Previously presented): A system as recited in claim 8, wherein the stimulator analyzes the sensed intrinsic gastric activity and classifies the sensed intrinsic gastric activity as slow wave or peristaltic wave.

Claim 10 (Canceled).

Claim 11 (Currently Amended): A system as recited in claim 1, wherein the stimulator may temporarily revert to a power conserve condition in the absence of a programmable threshold of normal electrical activity.

Application Number 10/782,087  
Response to Office Action mailed August 10, 2007

Claim 12 (Previously presented): A system as recited in claim 11, wherein the stimulator delivery of electrical stimulation is triggered by electrical activity classified as a plurality of normal events.

Claim 13 (Previously presented): A system as recited in claim 12, wherein the stimulator is programmed to deliver electrical stimulation on all or a percentage of the plurality of normal events.

Claim 14 (Previously presented): A system as recited in claim 13, wherein the electrical stimulation is delivered across the sensed intrinsic gastric activity.

Claim 15 (Previously presented): A system as recited in claim 13, wherein the electrical stimulation is delivered with a spatial offset to the sensed intrinsic gastric activity.

Claim 16 (Previously presented): A system as recited in claim 13, wherein the electrical stimulation is delivered with a temporal offset to the sensed intrinsic gastric activity.

Claim 17 (Original): A system as recited in claim 13, wherein the electrical stimulation is delivered in anticipation of the next normal electrical activity.

Claim 18 (Previously presented): A system as recited in claim 13, wherein a temporal offset is programmable by a user.

Claim 19 (Previously presented): A system as recited in claim 13, wherein a temporal delivery of the electrical stimulation is adaptable based upon an algorithm considering a running history of recent predecessor electrical activity events.

Claim 20 (Previously presented): A system as recited in claim 19, wherein the polarity of the stimulation electrodes is programmable by a user at the stimulator allowing stimulation between a single pair or a plurality of electrodes.

Application Number 10/782,087  
Response to Office Action mailed August 10, 2007

Claim 21 (Previously presented): A system as recited in claim 20, wherein the stimulator is programmed to switch the polarity of one or a plurality of the various stimulation electrodes to accommodate multiphase stimulation.

Claim 22 (Original): A system as recited in claim 21, wherein the electrical stimulation comprises one or a plurality of biphasic pulses programmable within the following parameters, comprising:

- pulse amplitude between 0.0 to 15 V or 0.0 to 15 mA;
- pulse width between 20 msec to 500 msec;
- pulses per event between 1 and 5; and
- first phase width between 25 to 100 percent of pulse width.

Claim 23 (Previously presented): A system as recited in claim 22, wherein the stimulator comprises an array, the array comprising two or more capacitors, and the pulse width is accommodated by switching between the two or more capacitors in the array.

Claim 24 (Original): A system as recited in claim 23, wherein the electrical stimulation comprises an alternating polarity pulse train programmable within the following parameters, comprising:

- pulse amplitude between 0.0 to 15 V or 0.0 to 15 mA;
- pulse width between 100  $\mu$ sec and 750  $\mu$ sec;
- pulses per second (frequency) between 10 to 120 Hz; and
- duration of pulse train between 0.5 and 30 seconds.

Claim 25 (Original): A system as recited in claim 24, wherein the stimulator comprises a memory and the parameters comprising quantities, interval frequency, duration, and amplitude for the sensed events and quantities of paced events are stored in memory for subsequent recall.

Application Number 10/782,087  
Response to Office Action mailed August 10, 2007

Claim 26 (Previously presented): A system as recited in claim 25, wherein the sensed intrinsic gastric activity can be telemetered from the implantable gastric stimulator to an external programmer to assist in establishing the appropriate stimulation parameters.

Claim 27 (Previously presented): A system as recited in claim 1, wherein the stimulator incorporates at least one stimulation channel coupled to the plurality of stimulation electrodes and at least one independently programmable sensing channel coupled to the plurality of sensing electrodes.

Claim 28 (Original): A system as recited in claim 27, wherein at least one stimulation channel is programmable to parameters associated with nerve stimulation.

Claim 29 (Currently Amended): A method for gastric stimulation of a patient comprising:  
sensing the intrinsic gastric activity on the stomach wall of a patient;  
classifying the sensed intrinsic electrical gastric activity as normal or abnormal;  
determining when to apply electrical stimulation to the stomach walls wall of the patient  
based upon the classification of the sensed intrinsic gastric activity as normal or abnormal;  
forming an electrical signal in response to the determining when the sensed intrinsic  
gastric activity is classified as normal; and  
disrupting normal gastric activity of the stomach with the electrical signal.

Claim 30 (Original): The method of claim 29 further comprising maintaining a history of predecessor electrical events.

Claim 31 (Previously presented): The method of claim 29 further comprising analyzing the sensed intrinsic gastric activity and classifying the sensed intrinsic gastric activity as slow wave or peristaltic wave.

Claim 32 (Canceled).

Application Number 10/782,087  
Response to Office Action mailed August 10, 2007

Claim 33 (Currently Amended): The method of claim 29 ~~32~~ wherein the step of determining determines a percentage of normal events and the step of disrupting applies the electrical signal for the percentage of electrical events.

Claim 34 (Previously presented): The method of claim 32, wherein the step of disrupting is triggered by electrical activity classified as normal.

Claim 35 (New): A system comprising:

- a plurality of sensing electrodes for sensing intrinsic electrical gastric activity from a stomach wall of a patient;

- an implantable gastric stimulator coupled to the sensing electrodes, wherein the implantable gastric stimulator receives the sensed intrinsic electrical gastric activity and classifies the activity as normal or abnormal, and wherein the stimulator creates electrical stimulation when the sensed intrinsic electrical gastric activity is classified as normal; and

- a plurality of stimulation electrodes for conveying the electrical stimulation from the implantable gastric stimulator to the stomach wall of the patient, the electrical stimulation being configured to disrupt normal gastric activity of the stomach.

Claim 36 (New): A method comprising:

- sensing intrinsic electrical gastric activity from a stomach wall of a patient;
- classifying the intrinsic electrical gastric activity as normal or abnormal;
- applying electrical stimulation to the patient when the intrinsic electrical gastric activity is classified as normal, wherein the electrical stimulation is configured to disrupt normal gastric activity of the stomach; and
- withholding application of electrical stimulation to the patient when the intrinsic electrical gastric activity is classified as abnormal.